...Decisions...Decisions...Decisions



These notes indicate the decisions taken at this meeting and the officers responsible for taking the agreed action. For background documentation please refer to the agenda and supporting papers available on the Council's web site (www.oxfordshire.gov.uk.)

The decisions take effect at the time and date specified, unless before that time written notice is given in accordance with the Council's Scrutiny Procedure Rules requiring the decision to be called in for review by the relevant Scrutiny Committee.

If you have a query please contact Sue Whitehead (Tel: 07393 001213; E-Mail: sue.whitehead@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

	List published 21 April 2021 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 28 April 2021		
REC	COMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
1.	Apologies for Absence	Councillor Eddie Reeves	DLG (A. Newman)
2.	Declarations of Interest	None	DLG (A. Newman)
- gui	dance note opposite		
3.	Minutes	Approved.	
held	approve the minutes of the meeting on 16 March 2021 (CA3) and to ve information arising from them.		
4.	Questions from County Councillors	See attached annex.	
5.	Petitions and Public Address	Item 6 – Councillor Glynis Phillips Item 7– Councillor Damian Haywood Item 8 – Councillor Damian Haywood Item 9 – Councillor Emma Turnbull Item 10 – Councillor Gill Sanders	
6.	Business Management & Monitoring Report - February 2021		
Cabinet Member: Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Finance Forward Plan Ref: 2020/155 Contact: Louise Tustian, Head of Insight & Corporate Programmes Tel: 07741			

	List published 21 April 2021 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 28 April 2021		
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED		DECISIONS	ACTION
	152/Hannah Doney, Head of Corporate nce Tel: 07584 174654		
mon	net is RECOMMENDED to note this th's business management and itoring report.	Recommendation agreed. Councillor Constance undertook to respond to Councillor Phillips on a question on information relating to Fix My Streets	CDCOD(L. Tustian) DF(H. Doney)
7.	Strategic Vision for Oxfordshire		
Foru Cont	inet Member: Environment vard Plan Ref: 2021/030 tact: Dawn Pettis, Strategic Planning m Leader Tel: 07771 983395		
The	Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to:	Recommendations agreed.	CDEP(D. Pettis)
(a) (b)	Agree the final Oxfordshire's Strategic Vision for Long Term sustainable Development (attached at Annex 1), noting that it was endorsed by the Oxfordshire Growth Board at its meeting on 22 March. Consider agreeing the Vision as the basis for informing future county council plans and strategy development, notably the Local Transport and Connectivity Plan (LTCP), the Oxfordshire Infrastructure Strategy (OXIS) and the future Nature Recovery Network Strategy. Note the implications and observations outlined in paragraphs 13 to 25 in this report.		T edits)
8.	Highway Maintenance Contract Extension		
Cabinet Member: Highways Delivery & Operations Forward Plan Ref: 2021/024 Contact: Roland Gilbert, Contracts Manager – Interim Tel: 07783 879015			

		ODAT, ZO AT THE ZOZT	
	List published 21 April 2021 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 28 April 2021		
REC	COMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
The	Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to	Recommendations agreed.	CDEP(R. Gilbert)
(b) E	Support an extension to the highway maintenance contract by two years to he end of March 2025. Delegate authority for the completion of the extension and associated Framework Alliance Contract (FAC) to he Corporate Director for Environment & Place in consultation with the Corporate Director of Commercial Development, Assets and Investment, and the s151 Officer.		
Servi Forw Cont	Developing a Strategic Framework for Oxfordshire County Council Libraries and Heritage Services Inet Member: Education & Cultural ices Idea Plan Ref: 2021/046 Idea Ct.: Lesli Good, Assistant Director — Irral Services Tel: 07930 617798		
The (a)	Agree the purpose for libraries and heritage services set out in para 28 and the development of a strategic framework to enable these services to contribute more effectively to broader community outcomes in line with the council's statutory duties and strategic vision 'Thriving Communities for Everyone in Oxfordshire'.	Recommendations approved.	CDCOD(L. Good)
(b)	Ensure the role of the libraries and heritage is widely acknowledged as a significant contributor to economic growth and development in the county and the region by setting out the ambitions and planned outcomes in strategic plans.		

	List published 21 April 2021 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 28 April 2021			2021
RE	COMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED		DECISIONS	ACTION
(c)	Agree that the development of the strategic framework should be based on detailed understanding of local need and engagement with stakeholders as set out in para 34 to 38. Agree to the development of a Member Engagement Plan to build a shared understanding of the contribution of these services to community outcomes.			
Serv Forw Cons Child Repo Serv The appr spec	Reprovision of Maltfield House - New Build Children's Home inet Member: Children & Family ices vard Plan Ref: 2021/041 tact: Lara Patel, Deputy Director dren's Services Tel: 07917 534366 ort by Corporate Director of Children's ices (CA10). Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to ove the proposal to change the design dification of the new build children's e from six to four beds	amer below RESO propospec	ommendations agreed as inded in the addenda and set out w by the additions in bold: OLVED: to approve the osal to change the design ification of the new build ren's home from six to four beds; To ensure that the design of the property is future-proofed for wider Council uses. Council confirms its commitment to high quality residential child care within the County	CDCS(L. Patel)
11.	Delegated Powers - April 2021	Note	d.	SW
Form Com To exec spec unde Dele	inet Member: Leader vard Plan Ref: 2020/156 tact: Sue Whitehead, Principal mittee Officer Tel: 07393 001213 report on a quarterly basis any cutive decisions taken under the cific powers and functions delegated er the terms of Part 7.1 (Scheme of gation to Officers) of the Council's stitution – Paragraph 6.3(c)(i). It is not			

List published 21 April 2021 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 28 April 2021		
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
for Scrutiny call-in.		
12. Forward Plan and Future Business		
Cabinet Member: All Contact Officer: Sue Whitehead, Principal Committee Officer Tel: 07393 001213		
The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to note the items currently identified for forthcoming meetings.	Noted as set out in the report and addenda	SW





CABINET - 20 APRIL 2021

ITEM 4 – QUESTIONS FROM COUNTY COUNCILLORS

Questions	Answers
1. COUNCILLOR PETE SUDBURY	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Emissions Accounting: Whilst I am sure it can be argued that the methodology used by the Council is technically correct and follows BEIS guidance, I am concerned that the Council's Emissions	Emissions accounting In 2010/11 the council's emissions added to 68,041t CO ₂ e (55,862t CO ₂ e if deducting REGO-backed green electricity). By 2019/20, emissions had
accounting gives our citizens a false, incomplete and potentially misleading view of the Council's	dropped to 19,164t CO ₂ e. This reduction was due to:
efforts and its real impact on the Climate Crisis, not least because it is extremely difficult to determine the effect of the Council's own efforts	 149 schools becoming academies and no longer being part of the council's estate
of a "like for like" basis.	Electricity grid decarbonisation
Two essentially extraneous factors in particular	Council's energy efficiency and energy generation initiatives
produce distortions which are larger than any of the underlying trends.	Changes to contractual arrangements and modes of service delivery
1. Progressive decarbonisation of the electricity grid (66% in the 7 years to 2020), which is a "freeloader" effect, being nothing to do with	Sale of corporate sites.
the Council's efforts and capable of concealing poor or negative performance in (for example) energy efficiency.	The table below shows a like-for-like comparison of 2010/11 and 2019/20, accounting for the effect of academisation, grid decarbonisation and sale of corporate sites. When considering the adjusted 2010/11 figure, a drop of 40%



Questions

2. Schools leaving the control of the Council. The emissions from these are transferred to the control of another entity, but appears in the Council's accounting as though it were a genuine reduction in emissions. The atmosphere really does not care about a transfer of ownership.

These two combined appear to account for over half of the stated reductions, rendering the headline decarbonisation rate of 65.7% since 2010/11 meaningless and extraordinarily misleading.

In addition, the figures are further distorted in the "Zero by 2030" target by omitting schools and outsourced functions such as datacentres and highways maintenance, all of which are entirely attributable to, and fully under the control of the Council and its core operations.

Please could you supply a tabulated Emissions Comparison 2019/20 and 2010/11, expressed on a "like for like" basis (i.e. Stripping out electricity decarbonisation and change of ownership effects) and a forecast statement of the expected emissions of the full Council estate (including schools, highway maintenance and datacentres) in 2030?

Answers

or 12,770t CO₂e by 2019/20 remains.

	2010/11	2019/2 0
Total emissions (t CO ₂ e)	68,041	
Schools becoming academies	-24,002	
Grid decarbonisation	-9,609	
Corporate disposals	-2,496	
New total emissions (t CO ₂ e)	31,934	19,164
Reduction		39.99%

Corporate emissions forecast to 2030

The council has committed to net-zero emissions by 2030 across highway assets, fleet, staff travel, and buildings' heating and electricity. These corporate emissions are estimated to have declined 60% between the baseline year of 2010/11 and 2020/21, from 26,510t CO₂e to about 10,500t CO₂e.



Questions	Answers
	Forecasting has shown that, without further action, electricity grid decarbonisation, population changes, and technology uptake would result in approximately a 70% drop from 2010/11 to 2030/31, with 8,000t CO ₂ e remaining.
	Having chosen a mitigation strategy, in which offsets will only be considered as a last resort, the council has in place a climate action programme to reduce emissions across all areas of its corporate neutrality target:
	Highway assets: the streetlighting LED conversion programme, due to be completed in 2025/26, will reduce emissions from 13,273t CO ₂ e in 2010/11 to an estimated 610t CO ₂ e by 2030/31.
	Fleet: OCC has an 'EV by default' fleet replacement policy and is putting in place One Fleet, an integrated fleet management approach that will support fleet rationalisation and decarbonisation. The climate action framework sets out a goal of replacing all cars with EVs by 2024 and all vans by 2028. A detailed fleet replacement programme will be developed this year, which will provide a forecast of emissions reductions to 2030.
	Staff travel (grey fleet): changes in work practices due to COVID have accelerated progress in this area, delivering in one year the emissions reductions expected by 2024-25. To sustain these changes, a low carbon staff travel programme is being proposed to encourage 'digital by default' meetings and active travel, as well as explore options for promoting the use of EVs for staff travel. The programme will provide a forecast of emissions reductions to 2030.
	Buildings' heating and electricity: an invest-to-save decarbonisation programme is being developed for the council's corporate buildings, which will



	COUNTY COUNCIL
Questions	Answers
	provide a forecast of emissions reductions to 2030. Projects recently funded by the Public Sector Decarbonisation Fund will deliver a reduction of 205t CO ₂ e per year, while LED conversion at Park and Ride will deliver a further 19t CO ₂ e savings per year.
2. COUNCILLOR PETE SUDBURY	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Scope 3 Emissions Accounting: There is a serious problem with both the definition and the accounting of "scope 3" emissions, with regard to both the Council's carbon accounting to date and its "Zero by 2030" target.	Scope 3 emissions: The council's reporting of scope 3 emissions includes:
	Emissions that are part of our carbon neutrality target – grey fleet and electricity transmission and distribution losses in our buildings and highway assets
The GHG report 2020 makes no reference whatsoever to the impact of decisions taken by the Council, regarding, for example, road building, active transport, etc. These "value"	Emissions that are not part of our carbon neutrality target – highways maintenance contractor's fleet fuel, outsourced data centre servers' electricity consumption, and maintained schools' grey fleet.
chain" scope 3 emissions are likely to dwarf the magnitude of the Councils Scope 1 and 2 emissions, plus directly attributable scope 3	Although it is not possible to forecast the latter scope 3 emissions, there is ongoing work that will contribute to significant reductions:
emissions, which together amount to the equivalent of building only 300 homes per year. Treating the Council as though it is solely a provider organisation and ignoring its core political function is counter-intuitive, misleading and ignores the huge contribution political decisions can make in both worsening and mitigating the climate crisis.	 Highways management contract: total emissions from the Highways Contract have been calculated at c. 9000t CO₂e for 2018. Required actions for decarbonisation have been identified and are currently being assessed for feasibility of implementation. Materials, depots, highways design, as well as plant and fleet, are all included within the project scope. These emissions are not currently included in scope 3 reporting.



Questions	Answers
The traditional "oil Company" response to scope 3 emissions is to argue that they are someone else's scope 1 and not relevant (this could be characterised as a "not our problem if they choose to burn it" defence). However, given that even Oil Companies are now reporting their scope 3 emissions and taking actions to bring them into compliance with Paris /	Kennington Bridge replacement scheme: as part of the project, a preliminary carbon assessment has been undertaken, with total emissions from the project (including both temporary and permanent works) calculated at 11112 t CO ₂ e. A series of high-level recommendations for reducing these emissions have been identified, which require further assessment to determine feasibility. These emissions are not currently included in GHG reporting.
1.5C, surely it is time for the Council to do the same? What were the changes in scope 3 emissions that can be attributed to OCC policies and decisions over the period of the carbon budget reporting (2010-2019) and what changes are projected over the next 1-5 years?	 Social value policy: once the policy is in place, carbon emissions savings from the council's supply chain will be tracked for at least 12 months to quantify its potential impact; we are currently looking at the possibility of including a specific emissions weighting in tender valuations, which would maximise the policy's impact on scope 3 emissions. Schools: a support package is being prepared to help schools take
	 Infrastructure schemes: the council is also embedding considerations of climate impact into decisions on infrastructure schemes through a scheme prioritisation tool that assesses alignment with climate strategy. The Oxfordshire Infrastructure Strategy, currently being reviewed, will also use climate criteria to prioritise future schemes.
3. COUNCILLOR JOHN HOWSON	COUNCILLOR DAVID BARTHOLOMEW, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE
At the meeting on the County Council on 11 th July 2017 you provided me with details of damages	The provision of £10.4m, calculated at the balance sheet date of 31 March 2017, was made up of two parts:



Questions

paid by the County Council over the preceding four-year period. In your answer you alluded to the fact that there remained some £10.4 million in open claims from earlier years still to be settled.

Can you please provide the figures by Directorate for the current position with these outstanding claims mentioned in your answer of 2017, indicating the size of any amount still set aside for open claims and the amount spent in damages and legal costs by this Council in settling the claims, together with the amount of any sums not required from the £10.4 million estimate in your answer of 11th July 2017?

Answers

- £5.6m the estimated value of outstanding claims received at 31 March 2017
- £4.8m an actuarial valuation of potential claims relating to previous years that could be received in the future (i.e. the liability has been incurred but the claim has not been received)

Since April 2017, £2.1m has been paid out in respect of claims received at 31 March 2017. These figures are inclusive of the amounts spent in damages and legal costs. It is not possible to provide a breakdown of this information by Directorate.

The provision is recalculated at the end of each financial year. The equivalent figures at 31 March 2020 were:

- £4.0m estimated value of outstanding claims received at 31 March 2020
- £4.1m an actuarial valuation of potential claims relating to previous years that could be received in the future

Within the known figure of £4.0m there are 17 claims still outstanding that were included in the estimate of £5.6m at 31 March 2017. The current outstanding estimate for these claims is £1.3m.

Therefore, the 2017 provision of £5.6m overprovided for known claims by £2.2m.

The actuary is in the process of calculating the provision at 31 March 2021 and this information will be included in 2020/21 Statement of Accounts.

4. COUNCILLOR JOHN HOWSON

COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR



Questions	Answers
	ENVIRONMENT
On the 4 th March this year I emailed you about the failure to repair or replace the rising bollard on Aristotle Lane in my division. In your reply, you stated that 'Between us (yourself and	I am sorry you have not been kept informed of progress. I have asked officers to make sure they do this in the future. Officers have been working with the supplier to get the replacement rising
officers), we will come back to you with a response.' Officers and cabinet members are not unaware of	bollards to an operational state. The bollards are functioning, but require CCTV and ANPR for systems to work to be operational. The supplier has stated that there is insufficient broadband width to allow the cameras and ANPR system to operate correctly. We are checking this to ensure that this
this matter, as I both Cllr Buckley and I have raised it in public on several occasions. As this was the original 'safe street' precaution, it is ironic that the problem has taken so long to resolve.	conclusion is correct. We are currently upgrading the broadband line at the Cornmarket bollard and this work should be completed in April. If this resolves the connectivity issues, then we will roll out broadband upgrades across all the other sites as soon as possible. We are also exploring, directly with the camera supplier, using a higher spec camera to see if this will also aid the system.
In view of the motion about 'safe streets' passed at the County Council meeting last week, can you explain why I have still not had a response saying when this issue will be fixed after so long?	We believed that we would be able to operate a limited service, operating the bollards without ANPR recognition, but testing of the system has revealed that we cannot get reliable picture feeds to see who is at the bollard, so that we can raise and lower the bollard safely remotely. This is incredibly frustrating for both myself and the Officers working on the project but we continue to work towards a solution. I have asked officers to look into the practicalities of temporarily operating the Aristotle Lane bollard manually on site and will update you on this potential temporary measure.
5. COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
There have been several reports in the Oxford Times about the "Expressway by Stealth". Irrespective of any role in the Expressway,	Responses are set against individual questions below:

Questions	Answers
responses to recent FOI requests indicate that changes were made to the South Oxfordshire Local Plan at a late stage on the initiative of County Council officers - in particular the addition of a proposal to "improve" the B4015, the removal of the safeguarding plan for Stadhampton Bypass and the inclusion of possible M40 junction changes outside the District which together with other local plan road proposals gives support to the suspicion that a major new route through our area is being planned. In any event, the proposed improvement of the B4015, 2 miles long country lane, would have drastic effects environmentally. Apparently, this has not been the subject of any public consultation or discussion by members of this authority.	
As these late changes to the Local Plan were initiated by officers of the County Council as highway authority could you please answer the following questions:	
Q1. Could the CC provide an explanation; indicating what assessments of the costs, traffic and environmental impacts along the road itself and along its feeder routes, especially the A239 to the east and at the M40, it has undertaken before proposing the B4015 Improvement be included in the South Oxfordshire Local Plan?	Comments regarding improvements to the B4015 between A4074 and B480 were included in the April 2020 Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) following an e-mail from OCC on 12th December responding to a request from South Oxfordshire District Council (SODC) for an update to their IDP. The B4015 between A4074 and B480 is the road between Golden Balls Roundabout and Chislehampton; both of which are separately proposed for potential improvement.
	Given the above and the fact that there was significant strategic housing



Questions	Answers
	development proposed that would impact on this part of the network, officers identified that there may be a need to improve this section of road and SODC was notified accordingly. There are no designs, costings or feasibility studies for any works. SODC chose to include this section of highway network in the IDP, noting that it is subject to change, depending on the Transport Assessments accompanying planning applications.
	Improvements to this length of OCC highway network are not included in the OCC strategic transport planning policy and the M40 junction improvements included within the district council's IDP were not proposed by OCC. Highways England and Homes England (as developer of strategic housing allocation at Chalgrove) made proposals to include these junctions in the IDP. The district council (SODC) subsequently drafted and added text to the IDP referencing junctions 8,8A,9 &10.
Q 2. Can you indicate whether there has been or will be any public consultation on the individual proposals and on the effective creation of a major route linking the two strategic routes; A34 and M40 and the consequential wider network implications?	Any proposed changes or improvements to the B4015 between A4074 and B480, would likely come forward, as noted above through planning application Transport Assessments, if either are proposed by an applicant or requested by OCC. Consultation and comment opportunity will then be possible through the normal planning process. As noted above, to date there are no specific proposals for improvements to this section of carriageway proposed.
	There is no proposal either within the current Local Transport Plan or the emerging Local Transport & Connectivity Plan for the creation of a strategic link between the A34 and the M40. Highways England is leading a study looking at potential improvements to the A34, but this is in its early stages and does not include any specific outcomes or proposals, which may emerge over time
	The SODC IDP is a document setting out the infrastructure required to



Questions	Answers
	support the local plan. This is a live document and subject to change through the life of a Local Plan. The IDP is not a document that sets out strategic highway network aspirations. Any highway related elements included in the Local Plan IDP are there to support the plans delivery.